

From: [Crooks, Thomas](#)
To: [Campbell, Don](#)
Subject: Re: ENG 101: Commented-on Rhetorical Analysis Draft
Date: Tuesday, October 11, 2022 8:52:30 AM

Thanks for the advice. I really didn't think too much about counterarguments and was mostly focused on the logos, pathos, and ethos stuff. I'll definitely include a paragraph about the counterarguments, as well I've fixed the grammar/spelling errors. Once again, thanks for your help.

Thomas Crooks

From: Campbell, Don <dcampbell@ccac.edu>
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2022 8:47 AM
To: Crooks, Thomas <thomas.crooks@acd.ccac.edu>
Subject: Re: ENG 101: Commented-on Rhetorical Analysis Draft

Hi Thomas - Overall, it's an excellent analysis! I'd say that just a paragraph addressing what you see as the main unreferenced counterargument and whether there's a plausible rebuttal to that counterargument the author could've provided. I only brought this point up in my comments because you'd done such a thorough job dissecting the article, which made it easier than usual to "see" potential counterarguments. Hope the comments weren't too harsh--I get sort of curmudgeonly when I have to grade a lot! DJ

From: Crooks, Thomas <thomas.crooks@acd.ccac.edu>
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2022 8:33:05 AM
To: Campbell, Don
Subject: Re: ENG 101: Commented-on Rhetorical Analysis Draft

Thanks. I know I missed a lot of the counter-argument stuff. Should I address counterarguments directly after the author presents their claim. Or should I designate one paragraph to address all relevant counterarguments.

From: Campbell, Don <dcampbell@ccac.edu>
Sent: Sunday, October 9, 2022 8:48 AM
To: Crooks, Thomas <thomas.crooks@acd.ccac.edu>
Subject: ENG 101: Commented-on Rhetorical Analysis Draft

Sorry for the delay, Thomas! Let's discuss this further in class Monday, OK? Let me know if you have questions about these comments, DJ